- 1			
1	NORMAN J. WATKINS, SBN 87327		
2	nwatkins@lynberg.com SHANNON L. GUSTAFSON, SBN 228856		
3	sgustafson@lynberg.com LYNBERG & WATKINS		
4	A Professional Corporation		
	1100 Town & Country Road, Suite 1450 Orange, California 92868 (714) 937-1010 Telephone (714) 937-1003 Facsimile		
5	(714) 937-1010 Telephone (714) 937-1003 Facsimile		
6	Attorneys for COUNTY OF ORANGE, ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, and MICHAEL CARONA		
7	DEPARTMENT, and MICHAEL CARONA		
8			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	JEFF BARDZIK,	CASE NO. SA CV07-141-JVS(RNBx)	
12	JEIT DARDZIK,		
13	Plaintiff,	Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. Judge Selna – Crtrm 10C	
14 15	VS.	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE PRETRIAL CONFERENCE AND TRIAL DATES	
16	COUNTY OF OR ANGE OR ANGE	-	
17	COUNTY OF ORANGE, ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, MICHAEL		
	DEPARTMENT, MICHAEL CARONA, DOES 1-10,		
18			
19	Defendant,		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

STIPULATION TO CONTINUE PRETRIAL CONFERENCE AND TRIAL DATES

1

4

5

6

7 8

10

11

9

12 13

14

15

16 17

19 20

18

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE Defendants, by and through their undersigned counsel, and Plaintiff, by and through his undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate and agree subject to the Court's approval, to continue the Pretrial Conference set for April 12, 2012 and the trial set for April 17, 2012 for the following reasons:

- Lead trial counsel for Defendants in the <u>Bardzik</u> matter, Mr. Norman J. 1. Watkins is currently engaged as lead trial counsel in the matter of Guilroy v. County of Orange, Case No.: 30-2008-00212410-CU-CR-CXC before the Honorable Gail Andler, Orange County Superior Court, Complex Division.
- 2. This Court previously continued the Pretrial Conference from April 2, 2012 to April 12, 2012 so that Mr. Watkins could be present.
- Since that continuance the parties have been diligently meeting and 3. conferring in an attempt to prepare and lodge the Pretrial Conference Order prior to the April 12, 2012 Pretrial Conference.
- Despite these efforts, they have been unable to complete the Pretrial 4. Conference order for several reasons. Plaintiff has filed a Motion for Reconsideration of this Court's October 26, 2011 order granting summary adjudication of Plaintiff's claims that he was not permitted to interview for a Chief of Police position in retaliation for his exercise of his First Amendment rights. This claim is separate and distinct from Plaintiff's other remaining claims that he suffered retaliation in the form of not being promoted to Captain, not receiving a pay raise, having his performance evaluation changed and having Internal Affairs investigations initiated against him. In particular, Plaintiff's claims regarding the Chief of Police involve different witnesses and different exhibits than his other claims. As such, when the parties began discussing stipulating to exhibits it became clear that the length of the exhibit list and the stipulations that could be reached were dependent on the Court's ruling on the Motion for Reconsideration. It is Defendants' position that numerous exhibits are irrelevant based upon the October 26, 2011 order and on the other hand Plaintiff contends that such exhibits are

2

4

3

5

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

- necessary because they will be successful on their Motion for Reconsideration. Accordingly, the Court's ruling on this Motion will clarify the issues for the parties and limit the disputes between the parties for purposes of the Pretrial Conference Order. Similarly, Plaintiff's remaining claims and Defendants' affirmative defenses are dependent upon the Court's ruling on Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration, as are the jury instructions to be submitted.
- The Motion for Reconsideration is currently set for hearing on April 5. 12, 2012. All parties will be present for that hearing. To limit the disputes between the parties and to avoid having to file a new Pretrial Conference Order after the April 12, 2012 hearing, the parties respectfully request that the Court continue the Pretrial Conference from April 12, 2012 to May 10, 2012.
- This request is also being made not only due to the substantive issues 6. that have occurred in the preparation of the Pretrial Conference Order, but also due to scheduling issues caused by the Guilroy matter commencing twenty days after it was originally scheduled to start on May 5, 2012.
- 7. Because lead trial counsel. Mr. Watkins has been engaged in trial since March 26, 2012 and because his approval is required for all stipulations regarding the exhibits and other matters, it has been difficult to schedule meetings to finalize the Pretrial Conference Order.
- 8. Additionally, while <u>Guilroy</u> began on March 26, 2012, because there are twelve plaintiffs and it has been determined to be a Complex case pursuant to Orange County Superior Court rules, the trial estimate is four to eight weeks. Given the proposed length of the trial the jurors needed to be pre screened and jury selection was only completed last week. Counsel did not give their opening statements until the morning of April 2, 2012 and Plaintiffs are only now beginning the presentation of their case. The trial schedule is Monday through Wednesday. Based on this schedule, the Guilroy Court has estimated that the trial may continue through the end of May. At minimum, Mr. Watkins will still be engaged on April

1	17, 2012, the date this matter is currently scheduled to start trial.		
2	9. The parties therefore request that the trial be continued to June given		
3	the current status of the <u>Guilroy</u> matter.		
4	The parties therefore request that the Pretrial Conference set for April 12,		
5	2012 at 3:00 p.m. be rescheduled to May 10, 2012 and that the trial be continued to		
6	a date in June of 2012 that is convenient for the Court.		
7			
8	DATED: April 2, 2012	LYNBERG & WATKINS A Professional Corporation	
9		A Floressional Corporation	
10	Bv:	/S/ SHANNON L. GUSTAFSON	
11		NORMAN J. WATKINS, ESQ. SHANNON L. GUSTAFSON, ESQ.	
12		Attorneys for COUNTY OF ORANGE, ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF'S	
13		DEPARTMENT, and MICHAEL CARONA	
14			
15	DATED: April 2, 2012	HADSDELL, STORMER, KEENEY, RICHARDSON & RENICK	
16 17		RICHARDSON & RENICK	
18	R <sub>W</sub> .	/S/ RANDY R. RENICK	
19	By.	RANDY R. RENICK, ESO.	
20		JOSHUA PIOVIA-SCOTT, ESQ. Attorney for Plaintiff JEFF BARDZIK	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		1	